

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/084,602	02/26/2002	Prederick L. Jordan	HO-P02917US7	1630	
26271 7:	590 09/27/2005 .	\$	EXAM	EXAMINER	
FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI, LLP 1301 MCKINNEY		OCT 25 2005	TOOMER,	TOOMER, CEPHIA D	
SUITE 5100		\\\	ART HAIT PAPER MIMBER		
HOUSTON, TX 77010-3095		A HADEMAN	1714		
			DATE MAILED: 09/27/2009	DATE MAILED: 09/27/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

OIPE		M				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
OCT 25 2005	10/084,602	JORDAN, FREDERICK L.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
TE HAREMEN	Cephia D. Toomer	1714				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Ju This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, p					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 52-60,62-77 and 79-87 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) Claim(s) 52-60,62-77 and 83-85 is/are allowed 6) Claim(s) 79,81,86 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 80,82 and 87 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	·				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	A) [] Imtanii	· (PTO 442)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	Date				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/084,602

Art Unit: 1714

DETAILED ACTION

This Office action is in response to the amendment filed July 12, 2005 in which claims 59, 60, 66, 67, 70, 76, 77, 82 and 83 were amended and claims 86 and 87 were added.

The 112 and 103 rejections of the claims are withdrawn in view of the amendments to the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 79, 81 and 86 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kirk (US 5,023,095).

Kirk teaches a color-stabilized food coloring composition comprising about 0.5 wt % to about 5.0 wt% beta-carotene, about 0.5 wt % to about 5.0 wt % of at least one edible oil and about 0.05 wt % to about 1.5 wt% of dl-alpha-tocopherol (see abstract; col. 2, lines 14-24). The edible oils include peanut, cottonseed and palm (see col. 3, lines 22-30). The thermal stabilizers include BHA and BHT (see col. 3, lines 31-37). Kirk teaches that the preferred antioxidant (stabilizers) is dl-alpha-tocopherol and that it is derived from plant sources such as whole grains by extraction (see col. 3, lines 38-

Application/Control Number: 10/084,602

Art Unit: 1714

46). Kirk teaches that the vegetable oil also functions as a diluent (see col. 6, lines 35-37).

Kirk differs from the claims in that she does not specifically teach that the plant oil extract is derived from barley. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to select barley extract as the plant oil extract because Kirk teaches that dl-alpha-tocopherol is extracted from whole grains. In the absence of evidence to the contrary, this teaching suggests barley.

- 3. Claims 80, 82 and 87 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The prior art fails to teach or suggest the addition of meadowfoam oil or a solvent.
- 4. Claims 52-60, 62-77 and 83-85 are allowable because the prior art fails to teach or suggest the claimed fossil fuel and meadowfoam oil as a component.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cephia D. Toomer whose telephone number is 571-272-1126. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on 571-272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/084,602

Art Unit: 1714

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cephia D. Toomer Primary Examiner Art Unit 1714

10084602\092205